

From: [Schofield, Susan](#)
To: [Zeno, Denise](#)
Subject: RE: Cabo Rojo results figures/tables
Date: Wednesday, May 08, 2013 11:17:26 AM

That is correct for the Extasy location – they could not get deeper than 6 feet because the ground was so hard.

From: Zeno, Denise [mailto:Zeno.Denise@epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, May 08, 2013 10:59 AM

To: Schofield, Susan

Subject: RE: Cabo Rojo results figures/tables

I' looked at the figures for Extasy and I have a question: all of the samples (except 1) were screened at 4-6ft bgs, did you find any problem collecting samples at this site beyond the 4-6 ft bgs?

From: Schofield, Susan [mailto:SchofieldSE@cdmsmith.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 08, 2013 10:42 AM

To: Zeno, Denise

Subject: Cabo Rojo results figures/tables

Buenos dias Denise,

I am going to send you results summary figures for the soil/gw screening with the GC. I will also email our proposed soil delineation sample locations that will be sent to DESA for full VOC analysis. We would like your approval of the locations before we start the soil sampling for DESA. I will have to flood your inbox with emails with the figures attached so they will all go through!

We anticipate completing the soil/gw screening with the GC on May 13 or 14. At that point we will be finished using the GC in the field and would ship it back to the vendor. Do you anticipate that there will be any other need for the GC (e.g., adding in additional PSAs)? If you do, we would need to get additional calibration materials for the GC since we only have supplies to last through the end of our approved work. Please let me know what you are planning, so we can make the appropriate arrangements.

Thanks,
Susan

Susan E. Schofield, P.G.

CDM Smith

1225 Ponce de Leon Avenue

VIG Tower, Suite 603

San Juan, PR 00907

schofieldse@CDMSmith.com

787-722-5428

203-645-2549 (mobile)